Spark plasma sintering and hot pressing of ZrB$_2$–SiC$_W$ ultra-high temperature ceramics
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Abstract

Zirconium diboride (ZrB$_2$)-based ultra-high temperature ceramics reinforced by SiC whisker were prepared by spark plasma sintering (SPS) and conventional hot pressing (HP). Dense materials were fabricated at 1600 °C by SPS and 1800 °C by HP. The densification behavior was investigated through the analysis of the HP and SPS shrinkage curves. The microstructures and mechanical properties were analyzed and compared in order to understand the influence of the two sintering techniques. The mechanical properties took full advantage of the addition of SiC whisker. Both materials exhibit high flexural strength and fracture toughness of >700 MPa and >6 MPam$^{1/2}$, respectively. The main outcome of the present work is that when the ZrB$_2$–SiC$_W$ ceramics composite was densified either by SPS or HP, the mechanical properties especially fracture toughness was higher than that of analogous monolithic and composites materials.
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1. Introduction

Zirconium diboride (ZrB$_2$) and hafnium diboride (HfB$_2$) are members of a family of materials known as ultra-high temperature ceramics (UHTCs). Their intrinsic characteristics, i.e., high melting point, high hardness, good chemical inertness, and high wear resistance make them promising candidates for high temperature structural applications [1–4]. However, unsatisfactory values of strength and toughness are still obstacles for them to be used widely, especially for applications in severe environment. Therefore, properties must be improved before the potential applications of ZrB$_2$ and HfB$_2$ can be fully realized.

One strategy to improve the properties of monolithic materials is the addition of second phase with strengthening/toughening capabilities [5–10]. In earlier or recent studies, it was reported that SiC particle was an efficient strengthening phase for ZrB$_2$ and HfB$_2$. Chamberlain et al. [3] reported the flexural strength increased from ~550 MPa for pure ZrB$_2$ to ~1000 MPa for ZrB$_2$–20 vol.%SiC$_p$. Likewise, fracture toughness ranged from 3.5 to 4.4 MPam$^{1/2}$ over the same composition range. The addition of SiC particle also improved oxidation resistance compared to pure ZrB$_2$. Unfortunately, few studies have been carried out on zirconium diboride matrix composite reinforced by SiC whisker up to date. SiC whisker, combining high strength, high elastic modulus with good thermal stability, has been successfully used to improve the properties of matrixes, such as Al$_2$O$_3$, ZrO$_2$, and MoSi$_2$ [9–14].

The novelty of the present contribution is that ZrB$_2$-based ultra-high temperature ceramics were fabricated with the reinforcement of SiC whisker by spark plasma sintering and hot pressing. Microstructure and mechanical properties were examined and discussed. The role of SiC whisker was also examined and discussed.

2. Experimental procedure

2.1. Material and processing

Commercially available raw materials were used in this study. The ZrB$_2$ powder with a mean size of 2 μm (99%) was supplied from Northwest Institute for Non-ferrous Metal Research, China and the second phase used in the experiment was β-SiC whisker from Alfa Aesar, MA, USA (99%). The whisker used here has a diameter of 0.2–1.0 μm and a length of 10–50 μm. Fig. 1(a) and (b) show the morphologies of received ZrB$_2$ particle and SiC whisker, respectively.
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The powder mixtures ZrB$_2$ plus 20 vol.% of SiC were ball-milled for 10 h in a polyethylene bottle using ZrO$_2$ balls and ethanol as the grinding media. It should be noted that the rotational speed should be restricted in 200 rpm for protecting SiC whiskers from damage (Fig. 1). After mixing, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to minimize segregation during drying. An amount of YAG less than 3 vol.% was introduced in both the initial powder mixtures as sintering aid.

For the spark plasma-sintering route, the powder mixture was sintered using a SPS equipment (Dr. Sinter, Model SPS-3.20MK-VI, Japan). The powder mixture was loaded into a graphite mould (inner diameter 40 mm) lined with a 0.75 mm thick graphitized paper, and pre-compacted before placed inside the SPS chamber. The sintering was carried out at 1600 $^\circ$C in vacuum (<6 Pa) under a pressure of 30 MPa. The specimen was heated from room temperature to 1600 $^\circ$C in 13 min by SPS, and held for 5 min, then cooled down. The temperature of the specimen was measured through the side hole of the graphite mold with an optical pyrometer (Chino IR-AHS, Japan). The difference between the actual sintering temperature and the mold surface temperature was taken into account during sintering. After completing the sintering process, the pressure was relaxed and the specimens were cooled in the chamber.

Sintering by hot pressing was carried out at 1800 $^\circ$C for 60 min under a uniaxial load of 30 MPa in Ar atmosphere. The heating rate was ~15 $^\circ$C/min. The sintering temperature was measured by the way of an optical pyrometer, focused on the external wall of the die. The precise heating schedule has been described elsewhere [3].

2.2. Characterization

After densification, the bulk density of hot pressed billets was determined using the Archimedes’ method, while the relative density was estimated by the rule of mixture. All samples were smoothly polished using a diamond paste and ultrasonic cleaned. Phase composition was determined via X-ray diffractometry (Rigaku, Dmax-rb) using Cu-K$_\alpha$ radiation. The microstructure features and fractured surfaces of the composite were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, FEI Sirion, Holland) with simultaneous chemical analysis by energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS, EDAX Inc., USA). To evaluate the interface of the composites, specimens for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were ground mechanically into 30 $\mu$m thick plate. Disc specimens of 3 mm in diameter were dimpled on one side with a Gatan dimpler, until the central region was about 10 $\mu$m thick. Final thinning to performance was carried out with a Gatan Model 600 ion-milling machine. The interface of the composite was examined in detail by JEM-2010.

Flexural strength ($\sigma$) was tested in three-point bending on 3 mm $\times$ 4 mm $\times$ 36 mm bars, using a 30 mm span and a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min (Instron-1186, USA). Each specimen was ground and polished with diamond slurries down to a 1 $\mu$m finish. The edges of all the specimens were chamfered to minimize the effect of stress concentration due to machining flaws. Microhardness (Hv1.0) was measured by Vickers’ indentation with a
9.8 N load applied for 15 s on polished sections. Fracture toughness ($K_{IC}$) was evaluated by a single-edge notched beam test with a 16 mm span using 2 mm × 4 mm × 22 mm test bars, on the same jig used for the flexural strength. All flexural bars were fabricated with the tensile surface perpendicular to the hot pressing direction. A minimum number of five specimens were tested for each experimental condition.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Densification behavior

The shrinkage curves collected during spark plasma sintering and hot pressing are plotted in Fig. 2 as a function of the time, along with the temperature profile. The HP composite started to shrink at about 1670 °C and the overall duration of the thermal treatment was about 210 min. However, SPS sample (with the same composition) began to have a measurable shrinkage at around 1400 °C. That difference should be due to the different heating mode between HP and SPS. The hot pressing experiment was performed with an inductive heated device and the temperature distributions within the whole mould–specimen system were uniform. So, the measured temperature of mould can be equal as the actual temperature of sample. For comparison, high heating rates, especially in combination with short dwell times can cause temperature gradients during spark plasma sintering [15,16]. Vanmeensel et al. [17] successfully used a finite element method formalized to investigate temperature distributions during field assisted sintering technique (FAST), also known as spark plasma sintering (SPS) or pulsed electric current sintering (PECS). Result has shown that the temperature difference is $\sim 200$ °C at a temperature of 1500 °C. In order to reduce this deflection, a pyrometer was proposed to focus on the bottom of a borehole inside the upper punch about 5 mm away from the specimen centre inside the tool [17]. During the SPS process, nearly fully dense material was obtained in less than 20 min, as shown in Fig. 2. That was probably due to the high heating rate (>100 °C/min) and the efficient heat transfer of SPS. The use of SPS technique enables the soaking time to be greatly reduced compared with hot pressing technique. However, the present of some residual porosity indicates that the spark plasma sintering conditions need to be further optimized.

3.2. Microstructural features

The X-ray diffraction analysis of sintering composites was shown in Fig. 3. ZrB$_2$ and $\beta$-SiC were the main phases and
some compounds in limited amounts were detected for both samples. That was presumably due to the introduction of impurities during the attrition milling and the reaction of impurities with matrix during sintering. The similar behavior has been reported for the HP or SPS ZrB$_2$ (HfB$_2$) composites [18–20]. Meanwhile, small amount of these phases such as ZrO$_2$ was reported to promote densification during hot pressing via introduction of liquid phases [21].

Fig. 4 shows the polished surface of SiCW/ZrB$_2$ composite, revealing a uniform distribution of SiC whiskers in ZrB$_2$ matrix. EDS analysis (not shown here) indicated that the dark acicular phase was the SiC whisker and gray phase was ZrB$_2$ matrix.

Fig. 5 shows the TEM micrographs of the interface between SiC whisker and ZrB$_2$ in the ZrB$_2$–SiCW composite after hot pressing. SiC whisker can be seen to be well bonded with the ZrB$_2$ matrix. The interface was clean and generally free of reaction products.

Selected-area diffraction patterns from SiC whisker are also shown in Figs. 5(a) and (b), respectively.

Fracture surfaces of SPS and HP samples are compared in Fig. 6(a) and (b), respectively. In both cases, the shape of the grains is uniform and the propagation of the fracture front involved a mixed inter/intra-granular path. Moreover, the mean ZrB$_2$ grain size for HP sample ($\sim 3\mu m$) was a little larger than the mean grain size of SPS material, $\sim 2\mu m$.

### 3.3. Mechanical properties

The mechanical properties of the materials under investigation are listed in Table 1. The flexural strength of SPS material was slightly lower than that of HP composite. That was probably due to the lower relative density although the grain size of the latter is moderately larger than that of the former. The fracture toughness for specimens after SPS and HP was 6.02 and 6.6 MPam$^{1/2}$, respectively. The increase of the fracture toughness of HP material can be mostly explained by the increase of the flexural strength. In order to understand the strengthening effect of SiC whisker, the reported values of ZrB$_2$ monolithic and composites are also mentioned for comparison (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Rd (%)</th>
<th>Sintering parameters</th>
<th>$K_{IC}$ (MPam$^{1/2}$)</th>
<th>$\sigma$ (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPS</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1600°C, 30 MPa, 5 min</td>
<td>6.02 ± 0.22</td>
<td>708 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1800°C, 30 MPa, 1 h</td>
<td>6.60 ± 0.14</td>
<td>753 ± 16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rd, relative density; $K_{IC}$, fracture toughness; $\sigma$, flexural strength.

Although SPS has recently been applied to densify UHTCs [22–24], few literature data for analogous ZrB$_2$–SiC systems using SPS processing are available to date.

The flexural strength of monolithic ZrB$_2$ with and without sintering aids is generally in the range of 350–565 MPa. In earlier studies, second phase such as SiC, MoSi$_2$ and ZrC was introduced to improve the densification and mechanical properties of pure material [3,5,18]. ZrB$_2$–20 vol.% SiC sintered with Si$_3$N$_4$ was reported to have higher strength of 730 MPa [18]. In a systematic study of the effect of SiC content, Chamberlain et al. [3] reported that strength increased from 565 MPa for pure ZrB$_2$ to 1003 MPa for ZrB$_2$ with 20 vol.% SiC. They are higher than other reported values. The increase in strength has been attributed to a combination of grain size reduction and the WC impurities (1.9 vol.% for ZrB$_2$ and 2.3 vol.% for ZrB$_2$–20 vol.% SiC) incorporated during attrition.

Although the strength of ZrB$_2$–SiC$_p$ can be improved through fine-grained and high purity starting powders, its fracture toughness is not satisfied. The reported fracture toughness ranged from 3.5 MPam$^{1/2}$ for monolithic ZrB$_2$ to 4.45 MPam$^{1/2}$ for ZrB$_2$ plus 20 vol.% SiC particle. However, in our study ZrB$_2$–SiCW composites sintered by SPS or HP both exhibit higher fracture toughness (>6 MPam$^{1/2}$).

Therefore, a comparison with literature results, as mentioned above, suggests that ZrB$_2$–SiCW has higher strength and toughness than monolithic ZrB$_2$ materials [3,18,25]. Meanwhile, the fracture toughness of SiC whisker reinforced ZrB$_2$ ceramic composite is much higher than earlier developed ZrB$_2$ composites with addition of SiC particle, as reported elsewhere [3,18,26]. To understand the toughening mechanism, the fracture surface and the path of indentation cracks was examined in the SEM. As shown in Figs. 6 and 7, the extensive crack interactions with the whisker, such as crack deflection, whisker pullout and bridging are clearly observed. It is believed that these interaction effects absorb crack propagating energy during fracture and lead to the improved toughness. The good mechanical properties of ZrB$_2$–SiCW composite make it potential candidate to new style high temperature structure material.
Table 2
Reported sintering details and properties of ZrB$_2$ and ZrB$_2$–20 vol.% SiC$_p$ composites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Processing details</th>
<th>Rd (%)</th>
<th>$K_{IC}$ (MPa$^{1/2}$)</th>
<th>$\sigma$ (MPa)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZrB$_2$</td>
<td>1870 °C, 30 MPa, 30 min</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrB$_2$</td>
<td>1900 °C, 32 MPa, 45 min</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>[3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrB$_2$ + 4Ni</td>
<td>1850 °C, 30 MPa, 30 min</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrB$_2$ + 20 vol.% SiC$_p$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrB$_2$ + 20 vol.% SiC$_p$</td>
<td>1900 °C, 32 MPa, 45 min</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>[3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrB$_2$ + 20 vol.% SiC$_p$ + 4Si$_3$N$_4$</td>
<td>1870 °C, 10 min</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusions

ZrB$_2$-based ultra-high temperature ceramics reinforced by SiC whisker were prepared by SPS and HP. The entire processing time applied to obtain nearly full dense material through spark plasma sintering was much shorter (~20 min) than that necessary for hot pressing (~210 min). The high effectiveness of the spark plasma sintering technique is believed to be due to the high heating rate and efficiency of the heating process.

The well-grounded property combination of this material took full advantage of the successful addition of SiC whisker. Flexural strength above 700 MPa was measured for both composites prepared by SPS and HP. The fracture toughness (>6 MPa$m^{1/2}$) was much higher than that of monolithic ZrB$_2$ (2–3 MPa$m^{1/2}$) and SiC particle reinforced ZrB$_2$ composite (~4.5 MPa$m^{1/2}$). The improved toughness was attributed to whisker bridging, crack deflection and whisker pullout.
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