Path-Integral Monte Carlo Simulation of the Warm Dense Homogeneous Electron Gas
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We perform calculations of the 3D finite-temperature homogeneous electron gas in the warm-dense regime \( (r_s = (3/4\pi n)^{1/3}a_0^{-1} = 1.0-4.0) \) and \( \Theta = T/T_F = 0.0625-8.0 \) using restricted path-integral Monte Carlo simulations. Precise energies, pair correlation functions, and structure factors are obtained. For all densities, we find a significant discrepancy between the ground state parametrized local density approximation and our results around \( T_F \). These results can be used as a benchmark for developing finite-temperature density functionals, as well as input for orbital-free density function theory formulations.
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The one-component plasma, a fundamental many body model, consists of a single species of charged particles immersed in a rigid neutralizing background. For electrons, the one-component plasma is a model of simple metals and is often called the homogeneous electron gas (HEG), electron gas, or jellium. At zero temperature, it is customary to define the natural length scale \( r_s a_0 \equiv (3/4\pi n)^{1/3} \) and energy scale \( R_y = e^2/2a_0 \), where \( n \) is the system density. When \( r_s \), the Wigner-Seitz radius, is small (high density) \( r_s \to 0 \), the kinetic energy term dominates and the system becomes qualitatively similar to a noninteracting gas. At low density \( r_s \to \infty \), the potential energy dominates and the system is predicted to form a Wigner crystal [1]. In three dimensions at intermediate densities, a partially polarized state is predicted to emerge [2,3].

Over the past few decades very accurate zero-temperature quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) calculations of the ground state HEG examined each of these phases [4,5]. In addition to determining phase boundaries, the results of these studies have proven invaluable in the rigorous parameterization of functionals in ground state density functional theory (DFT) [6].

Recently, there has been intense interest in extending the success of ground state DFT to finite-temperature systems such as stellar, planetary interiors, and other hot dense plasmas [7–9]. However, such attempts have met both fundamental and technical barriers when electrons have significant correlations.

Some of the first Monte Carlo simulations explored the phases of the classical one-component plasma [10]; note that its equation of state depends only on a single variable, the Coulomb coupling parameter \( \Gamma = e^2/(k_BT) \). First-order quantum mechanical effects have since been included [11,12]. However, the accuracy of these results quickly deteriorates as the temperature is lowered and quantum correlations play a greater role [13]. This breakdown is most apparent in the warm-dense regime where both \( \Gamma \) and the electron degeneracy parameter \( \Theta = T/T_F \) are close to unity.

Finite-temperature formulations of DFT have also met with challenges. There are two broad approaches to building finite-temperature functionals. In one approach, temperature effects are introduced by smearing the electronic density of states over a Fermi-Dirac distribution. As temperature increases, an ever-increasing number of molecular (Kohn-Sham) orbitals is required in order to evaluate the functional, making DFT calculations computationally intractable. In addition, although a useful approximation, this approach is not exact even in the limit of the exact ground state exchange functional as the Kohn-Sham orbitals need have no relation to the true excited states. A second approach is to use orbital-free DFT where the usual Kohn-Sham orbitals are replaced by another functional for the kinetic energy term [14,15]. However, an \textit{a priori} way to determine such a functional has yet to materialize. Without a reliable benchmark, orbital-free DFT is left to rely on Thomas-Fermi-like approximations which can incur errors an order of magnitude larger than typical DFT errors [16]. Having accurate finite-temperature energies for the 3D HEG will help parametrize finite-temperature functionals.

In this Letter, we provide accurate, first-principles thermodynamic data of the 3D HEG throughout the warm-dense regime, as shown in Fig. 1, for both the fully spin-polarized \( \xi = 1 \) and unpolarized \( \xi = 0 \) systems, where \( \xi \equiv (N_{\uparrow} - N_{\downarrow})/(N_{\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow}) \). In doing so we make firm connections to both previous semiclassical and ground-state studies. We utilize the restricted path-integral Monte Carlo (RPIMC) method. For a complete review of bosonic PIMC calculations and its extension to fermions we refer the reader to Refs. [17–19], respectively. Here we will only touch on parts of the method which are significant to this study.

PIMC calculations allow in-principle exact calculations of equilibrium properties of quantum systems. For fermions, however, statistical weights of approximately
equal magnitude and opposite sign make direct simulation computationally intractable at low temperatures. To circumvent this difficulty, a constraint is imposed such that sampled paths remain entirely within the strictly positive region of a trial density matrix; here we employ the free-electron density matrix,

\[ \rho_0(R, R', \tau) = (4\pi\tau/r_s^2)^{-3N/2} \exp\left[-\frac{(r_i - r'_i)^2}{4\tau/r_s^2}\right]. \]  

where \( R \equiv \{r_i\} \) is the set of all \( 3N \) particle coordinates and \( \tau \equiv \beta/M \) with \( M \) the number of imaginary time discretizations. We expect this approximation to be best at high temperature and at low density when correlation effects are weak. Specifically, we compare Eq. (1) to the Feynman-Kac formulation for the full density matrix,

\[ \rho_F(R, R', \beta) = \mathcal{A} \rho_0(R, R', \beta) \left\langle \exp\left[-\int_0^\beta d\tau V(R(\tau))\right]\right\rangle. \]  

where \( \mathcal{A} \) is the antisymmetrization operator and \( \left\langle \ldots \right\rangle \) denotes an average over Brownian walks from \( R' \) to \( R \). As \( \beta \to 0 \), this average tends to unity, leaving only the antisymmetrized kinetic term. Thus, for any potential \( V(R) \) bounded from below, the nodes of the full density matrix equal the nodes of the free-particle density matrix in the high-temperature limit.

Furthermore, we expect free-particle nodes to be accurate for a homogeneous system, such as the electron gas, where translational symmetry constrains the possible nodal surfaces [20]. Nevertheless, further accounting of this approximation will be made through connection to prior semiclassical and ground-state simulations as well as exact evaluation of the unrestricted density matrix at higher temperatures.

We utilize the pair product approximation to write the many-body density matrix as a product of high-temperature two-body density matrices [17]. To account for the long-range nature of the Coulomb interaction, we split the density matrix into a short-range and long-range piece. Each short-range two-body density matrix is exactly solved at an even higher temperature, and then squared down to the temperature of interest \( \tau^{-1} \). The long-range piece is then included via Ewald summation.

Rebuilding the many-body density matrix out of such two-body density matrices comes with an error that scales as \( \sim \tau^3/r_s^2 \). A more dominate form of time step error originates from paths which cross the nodal constraint in a time less than \( \tau \). To help alleviate this effect, we use an image action to discourage paths from getting too close to nodes. An example of \( \tau \) convergence is given in the Supplemental Material [21] along with the time steps used at all densities for both the fully spin-polarized (\( \xi = 1 \)) and unpolarized (\( \xi = 0 \)) systems.

For the fully spin-polarized system, we simulated \( N = 33 \) electrons, while for the unpolarized system, we simulated \( N = 66 \) electrons. Both \( N \)'s are so-called magic numbers which completely fill a fixed number of bands for the free Fermi gas, helping to alleviate shell effects arising from a sharp Fermi surface. To further account for the finite-size of the simulation cell, we use the exact analytic correction for the ground-state homogeneous electron gas [22]. At intermediate and high densities, a second-order correction to the kinetic energy is necessary [23], giving

\[ \Delta T_N = \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{\omega_p}{2} + \frac{5.264}{\pi r_s^2 (2N)^{1/3}} \left[ (1 + \xi)^{2/3} + (1 - \xi)^{2/3} \right] \right), \]

\[ \Delta V_N = \frac{\omega_p}{2N}, \quad \Delta E_N = \Delta V_N + \Delta T_N, \]

where \( \omega_p = \sqrt{3} \beta \) is the random phase approximation (RPA) plasmon frequency. At finite temperature this correction is multiplied by \( \tanh(\beta \omega_p) \). Since it relies on the validity of the RPA at long wavelength, this correction should still be accurate provided the small \( k \) behavior of the static structure factor behaves as in the RPA. In Fig. 2, we verify this feature for the unpolarized state at \( r_s = 1.0 \) and \( r_s = 10.0 \). Note that for \( \xi = 0 \), \( S(k) = S_T(k) + S_S(k) \).

An additional error comes from the sampling error of the Monte Carlo algorithm itself. This error, determined through hierarchical binning [24], can be controlled by simply gathering more statistics through sampling additional configurations. For most points, we were able to run long enough to have statistical errors on the same order as the other errors. However, for the highest density points, statistical errors are an order of magnitude higher than time step errors.

We have calculated energies, pair correlation functions, and structure factors of the 3D HEG for densities ranging from \( r_s = 1.0 \) to 40.0 and temperatures ranging from

\[ \Gamma = 0.0, 1.0, 1.000, \]

\[ \Gamma = 106.0 \]
\[ E_{\text{tot}}/E_0 \gg 1 \approx E_0 \]

In Fig. 3 we plot the total excess energy \( E_{\text{tot}}/E_0 \) for the polarized system at all temperatures with \( r_s = 4 \) and 40.0. At the highest temperatures, our results match well with the purely classical Monte Carlo results of Ref. \[10\] (solid line). For a few select points, we have performed the much more time consuming but more accurate, signful PIMC simulation (squares). These points which are essentially exact, i.e., without possible nodal error, match well with fixed-node results. Finally, we know from Fermi liquid theory the low-temperature gas should have a linear form for the heat capacity, and, therefore, a quadratic form for the internal energy. Thus, for each density we fit the low-temperature points to a quadratic function and extrapolate to 0 K. Figure 3 shows the extrapolated results (dotted line) match well with the zero-temperature QMC results of Ceperley-Alder \[4\] (dashed line).

Figure 4 shows the pair correlation functions for the same systems. Again, we see a convergence to analytic ground state curves. The small \( r \) behavior slightly deviates for \( r_s = 1 \), but this is due to the poor quality of small \( r \) QMC data which were used to create the analytic fit \[26\]. We also plot the Debye-Huckel pair correlation function given by

\[ g_{\text{DH}}(r) = \exp[-r \theta \exp(-r\sqrt{3}/\theta)], \]  

where \( \theta = r/r_s \). As was noted in Ref. \[26\], convergence of \( g(r) \) to the Debye-Huckel limit is slower than for the corresponding \( S(k) \).

Through this comparison of our results against existing numerical and analytical data, we conclude the free-particle nodal approximation performs well for the densities studied. Further investigation is needed at even smaller values of \( r_s \) and lower temperatures in order to determine precisely where this approximation begins to fail. Such studies will necessarily require algorithmic improvements, however, because of difficulty in sampling paths at high density and low temperature \[20\].

Finally, we have evaluated the exchange-correlation energy \( E_{\text{xc}} \), an essential quantity in any DFT formulation, defined

\[ S_{\text{DH}}(k) = \frac{k^2}{k^2 + 3\Gamma}. \]
where $E_0$ is the kinetic energy of a free Fermi gas at temperature $T$. As is customary, we further break up $E_{\text{exc}}$ into exchange and correlation parts,

$$E_{\text{exc}}(T) = E_{\text{ tot}}(T) - E_0(T),$$

where $E_0(T)$ is the Hartree-Fock exchange energy for a free Fermi gas at temperature $T$. As is customary, we further break up $E_{\text{exc}}$ into exchange and correlation parts,

$$E_{\text{exc}}(T) = E_x(T) + E_c(T),$$

where $E_x(T)$ is the Hartree-Fock exchange energy for a free Fermi gas at temperature $T$.

By calculating $E_{\text{tot}}(T)$ through RPIMC simulations we were able to determine $E_x(T)$ at all studied densities for both the fully spin-polarized and unpolarized states. As one can see in Fig. 5, correlation effects increase both with density (smaller $r_s$) and temperature up to a temperature above the Fermi temperature $T_F$. Above this temperature, the electron gas begins to be less correlated. This represents the point at which electron screening is a dominant effect, the interaction becomes effectively short ranged, and the Debye-Huckel approximation becomes relatively accurate. As the density increases, the value of $\Theta$ at which this occurs decreases. At $r_s = 1.0$ the maximal effect of interactions occurs very near $T_F$, $\Theta = 1$.

Most notably, we see a departure from the $\Theta = 0.0$ correlation energy used ubiquitously in both ground state and finite-temperature local density approximation DFT calculations. This discrepancy is significant throughout the warm-dense regime, calling into question the use of ground state correlation functionals at such temperatures and densities.

In conclusion we have used RPIMC with free-particle nodes to calculate energies, pair correlation factors, and structure factors for the 3D HEG throughout the warm-dense regime. Systematic errors, including finite-size effects, time step, and statistical fluctuations, are controlled for. Through cross validation with previous ground state and classical MC calculations and exact finite-temperature calculations, we estimate that bias from the use of the free-particle density matrix in the constraint is small for the density or temperature points simulated. This does not exclude the possibility of fixed-node error at higher densities and lower temperatures. In future work we will quantify this error by finding better nodal structures and doing calculations without such uncontrolled approximations.
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[27] Because the electron density is homogeneous, the Hartree-Fock and DFT orbitals are identical, implying that the exchange energies in the two approximations are the same.